

## Contents

	Page
Acknowledgement	i
Abstract	iii
Thai abstract	vi
List of tables	x
List of figures	xii
List of appendix tables	xiv
Introduction	1
Review of literature	3
Materials and Methods	11
Results	16
1. Rice yield and nitrogen removed	16
2. Available soil nitrogen	18
3. Soybean shoot dry matter and total nitrogen	20
4. Nodulation	22
5. Nitrogen fixation	24
5.1 The relative abundance of ureide in xylem sap	24
5.2 The proportion of plant nitrogen derived from nitrogen fixation	26
5.3 Nitrogen increment	28

	Page
5.4 Amount of nitrogen fixed	30
6. Soybean yield and seed nitrogen	32
7. Nitrogen Balance	32
7.1 Nitrogen in fallen leaf	32
7.2 Nitrogen balance for soybean	35
Discussion	37
Conclusions	43
References	44
Appendix	48
Biography	90

ลิขสิทธิ์มหาวิทยาลัยเชียงใหม่  
 Copyright© by Chiang Mai University  
 All rights reserved

## List of Tables

Table	Page
1. Effects of nitrogen fertilization on nitrogen concentration in rice plant, yield, total dry matter, nitrogen removal.	17
2. Effects of nitrogen fertilization on soybean shoot dry matter at V6, R7 and total nitrogen uptake at R7.	21
3. Estimation of the percentage of plant nitrogen derived from nitrogen fixation, using ureide method, average between two sampling.	27
4. Effects of nitrogen fertilization on soybean seed yield, total nitrogen content and nitrogen removal.	33
5. Amount of Nitrogen returned to field with fallen leaves.	34

	Page
6. Nitrogen balance for soybean grown after rice fertilized with three levels of N (0, 100, 300 kg N/ha) and supplied with three levels of starter nitrogen (0, 25, 50 kg N/ha).	36
7. Net income comparison of rice-soybean cropping systems.	42



ลิขสิทธิ์มหาวิทยาลัยเชียงใหม่  
Copyright© by Chiang Mai University  
All rights reserved

## Lists of Figures

Figure	page
1. Simplified scheme of the relationship between nitrogen fixation and nitrogen uptake from soil and fertilizer in nodulated legumes.	6
2. Effects of nitrogen application rates on available soil nitrogen contents at (A) sowing time and (B) seed development stage (R5). Rice was supplied with 0 ( • ), 100 ( + ) and 300 kg ( * ) N/ha. Vertical lines denote LSD's (P<0.05) for sampling.	19
3. Effects of nitrogen application rates on soybean nodule dry weight at (A) 42 days after sowing (V6) and (B) 77 days after sowing (R5). Rice was supplied with 0 ( • ), 100 ( + ) and 300 ( * ) kg N/ha. Vertical lines denote LSD's (P<0.05) for sampling.	23

- |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                              | Page |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------|
| 4                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            | 25   |
| <p>Effects of nitrogen applied to soybean at 0 ( • ), 25 ( + ), 50 ( * ) kg N/ha and rice at 0 (R0), 100 (R100) and 300 (R300 ) kg N/ha on the changes of the relative abundance of ureide with time. Vertical lines denote LSD's (P&lt;0.05) for each time of sampling.</p> |      |
| 5                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            | 29   |
| <p>Effects of nitrogen applied to soybean at 0 ( • ), 25 ( + ), 50 ( * ) kg N/ha and rice at 0 (R0), 100 (R100) and 300 (R300 ) kg N/ha on total nitrogen increment with time. Vertical lines denote LSD's (P&lt;0.05) for each time of sampling.</p>                        |      |
| 6                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            | 31   |
| <p>Effects of nitrogen applied to rice at 0 (R0), 100 (R100) and 300 (R300) kg N/ha and soybean at 0 ( • ), 25 ( + ), 50 ( * ) kg N/ha on the amount of nitrogen fixed with time. Vertical lines denote LSD's (P&lt;0.05) for each time of sampling.</p>                     |      |

## Lists of Appendix Tables

Table	Page
1     Layout of experiment design	49
2     Available soil nitrogen ( $\mu\text{g/g}$ )	50
2.1 Original data for analysis of variance	50
2.2 Analysis of variance	51
3     Soybean shoot dry matter and total nitrogen uptake ( $\text{kg/ha}$ )	52
3.1 Original data for analysis of variance	52
3.2 Analysis of variance	54
4     Nodule dry weight ( $\text{mg/g}$ )	56
4.1 Original data for analysis of variance	51
4.2 Analysis of variance	57
5     The relative of abundance of ureide (%)	58
5.1 Comparison of average value at each sampling time	58
5.2 Original data for analysis of variance	59
5.3 Analysis of variance	61
6     The proportion of plant nitrogen derived from nitrogen fixation(%)	64
6.1 Comparison of average value at each sampling time	64

6.2	Original data for analysis of variance	65
6.3	Analysis of variance	67
7.	Total nitrogen uptake (kg/ha)	70
7.1	Comparison of average value at each sampling time	70
7.2	Original data for analysis of variance	71
7.3	Analysis of variance	73
8.	The amount of nitrogen fixed (kg/ha)	76
7.1	Comparison of average value at each sampling time	76
7.2	Original data for analysis of variance	77
7.3	Analysis of variance	79
9	Soybean seeds yield (kg/ha), total nitrogen content (%) and nitrogen removal (kg/ha)	82
9.1	Original data for analysis of variance	82
9.2	Analysis of variance	84
10	The amount of fallen leaves (kg/ha), total nitrogen content (%) and nitrogen returned field (kg/ha)	86
10.1	Original data for analysis of variance	86
10.2	Analysis of variance	88