

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
Acknowledgements	iii
Abstract in English	v
Abstract in Thai	vii
Table of Contents	ix
List of Tables	xiii
List of Figures	xv
<b>CHAPTER I: INTRODUCTION</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>CHAPTER II: LITERATURE REVIEW</b>	<b>5</b>
2.1 Integrated farming systems in rainfed uplands	5
2.1.1 The existence of farming systems	5
2.1.2 Geographic and climatic characteristics of Doi Lor district	5
2.1.3 Physical and biological constraints of rainfed upland	6
2.1.3.1 Soil fertility	6
2.1.3.2 Weed interference	6
2.1.3.3 Fire	6
2.1.3.4 Animal feed	7
2.1.3.5 Pests and diseases	7
2.1.3.6 Water constraint	7
2.2 Conceptual Framework	8
2.3 <i>Stylosanthes hamata</i>	9
2.3.1 Description	9
2.3.2 Climatic and edaphic adaptation	11
2.3.3 Management	12
2.3.3.1 Fertilizer requirements	12
2.3.3.2 Establishment	13
2.3.3.3 Grazing	14
2.3.3.4 Yield	14
2.3.3.5 Ground cover	15
2.3.3.6 Improving soil fertility	16

2.3.3.7 Weeds suppression	16
2.3.3.8 Pests and diseases	18
<b>CHAPTER III: RESEARCH METHODOLOGY</b>	20
3.1 Research approach	20
3.2 On-farm survey	20
3.3 Field experiment	21
3.3.1 Experimental site and field history	21
3.3.2 Design and treatments	21
3.3.3 Field management	22
3.3.4 Data collection	22
3.3.4.1 <i>Stylosanthes hamata</i>	23
3.3.4.2 Broadleaved and grass weeds	24
3.3.5 Soil data	25
3.3.6 Climatic data	25
3.3.7 Data analysis	25
<b>CHAPTER IV: RESULTS OF FIELD SURVEY</b>	27
4.1 The major uses of mangoes and reasons for their growing in the Chom Tong Land Reform area	27
4.2 The problems and constraints of farmer's practices in mango orchard	28
4.3 Weed management in mango orchards	29
4.4 Cattle feed	35
4.5 Legume cover crops	36
4.6 Farmer's perceptions of intercropping <i>Stylosanthes hamata</i>	38
<b>CHAPTER V: RESULTS OF FIELD EXPERIMENT</b>	40
5.1 Topography and soil characteristics of the study site	40
5.2 Climatic conditions	40
5.3 Effect of phosphorus fertilizer, cutting frequency and weed control on <i>Stylosanthes hamata</i>	42
5.3.1 Establishment of the newly germinated and mature plants of <i>S. hamata</i> in the third year	42
5.3.2 Height of <i>S. hamata</i> in a third-year growing	49
5.3.3 Ground coverage of <i>S. hamata</i>	52

5.3.4	Dry matter and forage yield of <i>S. hamata</i>	56
5.3.5	Forage quality	58
5.4	Predominant weed species in the integrated farming system found in third year of <i>Stylosanthes hamata</i> establishment	61
5.5	Effect of phosphorus fertilizer, cutting frequency and weeding on height, coverage, dry matter and density of weeds	64
5.5.1	Effect on density of broadleaved and grass weeds	64
5.5.2	Effect on height of predominant weeds	66
5.5.3	Effect on ground coverage of broadleaved and grass weeds	69
5.5.4	Effect on dry matter of broadleaved and grass weeds	72
5.5.5	Comparison effects of phosphorus fertilizer, weeding and cutting on <i>S. hamata</i> and weeds	74
<b>CHAPTER VI: DISCUSSION</b>		78
6.1	Field survey	78
6.1.1	Weed management in mango orchards	78
6.1.2	Cattle feed	80
6.1.3	Legume cover crops	81
6.1.4	Farmers' perception of intercropping <i>S. hamata</i>	82
6.2	Field experiment	83
6.2.1	Soil characteristics of the study site	83
6.2.2	Climatic conditions	83
6.2.3	The predicament of field experiment	84
6.2.4	Effect of phosphorus fertilizer, cutting frequency and weeding on growth characteristics of <i>S. hamata</i> and weeds	85
6.2.4.1	Population of <i>S. hamata</i> and weeds	85
6.2.4.2	Height of <i>S. hamata</i> and predominant weed	87
6.2.4.3	Ground coverage of <i>S. hamata</i> , broadleaved and grass weeds	88
6.2.4.4	Dry matter of <i>S. hamata</i> and broadleaved and grass weeds	90
6.2.4.5	Forage qualities of <i>S. hamata</i>	92
6.2.4.6	Weed interference	93

<b>CHAPTER VII: CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS</b>	95
<b>REFERENCES</b>	97
<b>APPENDICES</b>	105
<b>CIRRICULUM VITAE</b>	136

มหาวิทยาลัยเชียงใหม่  
Chiang Mai University

## LIST OF TABLES

Table	Page
3.1 The treatment combinations laid out on field experiment	22
4.1 Significant level of problems, damaging level and percentage of frequency of occurrence of farmer's practices in their mango orchards	29
4.2 Weed management of mango growers in rainfed upland area	31
4.3 Mango growers' knowledge and perceptions in different weeding practices, advantages and disadvantages, and cost involved	32
4.4 Farmer practices of fire protection in mango orchards in rainfed upland area	34
4.5 Cattle raising by mango growers in rainfed upland area	36
4.6 Farmers' knowledge on cover crops and contribution	37
4.7 Farmers' perceptions of <i>S. hamata</i> intercropping in rainfed upland area	39
5.1 Some chemical and physical properties of rainfed upland in the Chom Tong Land Reform area	41
5.2 The variable analysis of population density of mature plants	45
5.3 The variable analysis of population density (newly germinated and mature plants) of <i>S. hamata</i>	48
5.4 The variable analysis of <i>S. hamata</i> height (newly germinated plants)	51
5.5 The analysis of variation for the height of mature plants of <i>S. hamata</i>	52
5.6 The statistical analysis of the ground coverage of <i>S. hamata</i>	55
5.7 The analysis of variance <i>S. hamata</i> dry matter	58
5.8 The statistical analysis of the forage qualities (dried at 65 °C) of <i>S. hamata</i> at 17 WAE	59
5.9 The statistical analysis of the forage qualities (dried at 65 °C) of <i>S.</i>	59

*hamata* at 25 WAE

- |      |   |    |
|------|---|----|
| 5.10 | The neutral detergent fiber (NDF), acid-detergent fiber (ADF), crude protein (CP) and dry matter (DM) of <i>S. hamata</i> measured in different cutting practices at 25 WAE   | 60 |
| 5.11 | Relative density, relative frequency, relative dry weight and Summed Dominance Ratio (SDR) of predominant weed species found in <i>Stylosanthes hamata</i> field in May 2000 at the Chom Tong Land Reform area, Chiang Mai  | 61 |
| 5.12 | Relative density, relative frequency, relative dry weight and Summed Dominance Ratio (SDR) of predominant weed species found in <i>Stylosanthes hamata</i> field in August 2000 at the Chom Tong Land Reform area, Chiang Mai   | 62 |
| 5.13 | Relative density, relative frequency, relative dry weight and Summed Dominance Ratio (SDR) of predominant weed species found in <i>Stylosanthes hamata</i> field in October 2000 at the Chom Tong Land Reform area, Chiang Mai  | 63 |
| 5.14 | The analysis of variation for broadleaved and grass weed density  | 66 |
| 5.15 | Analysis of variation for height of predominant weed species <i>Richardia brasiliensis</i>  | 69 |
| 5.16 | The analysis of variation for ground coverage of broadleaved and grass weeds  | 71 |
| 5.17 | Analysis of variation for dry matter of broadleaved and grass weeds   | 73 |
| 5.18 | Relative density, relative frequency, relative dry weight and Summed Dominance Ratio (SDR) of <i>Stylosanthes hamata</i> and broadleaved and grass weeds found in <i>S. hamata</i> field at the Chom Tong Land Reform area, Chiang Mai during May, August and October, 2000 | 77 |

## LIST OF FIGURES

Figure	Page
2.1 The potential contribution of legume cover crop ( <i>Stylosanthes hamata</i> ) in mango-based integrated farming systems in rainfed uplands	9
3.1 Field history of <i>S. hamata</i> in mango orchard	21
4.1 The major uses of mangoes grown in the Chom Tong Land Reform area, 2000	28
4.2 Reasons for mango growing in the Chom Tong Land Reform area, 2000	28
5.1 Monthly average rainfall and temperatures in the Chom Tong Land Reform area, Chiang Mai province (2000)	41
5.2 The seedling of <i>S. hamata</i> germinated in the early wet season of third year	42
5.3 The mature plant of <i>S. hamata</i> regenerated leaves and branches in the early wet season of third year	42
5.4 The effect of fertilizer on the population of mature plants of <i>S. hamata</i>	43
5.5 The effect of weeding on the population of mature plants of <i>S. hamata</i>	44
5.6 The effect of cutting on the population of mature plants of <i>S. hamata</i>	44
5.7 The effect of fertilizer on newly germinated plant number of <i>S. hamata</i>	46
5.8 The effect of weeding on newly germinated plant number of <i>S. hamata</i>	46
5.9 The effect of cutting on newly germinated plant number of <i>S. hamata</i>	46
5.10 The population of newly germinated and mature plants of <i>S. hamata</i> in a third wet season	47
5.11 The ratio of newly germinated and mature plants of <i>S. hamata</i> in a third wet season	48

5.12	The effect of fertilizer on the height of <i>S. hamata</i>	49
5.13	The effect of weeding on the height of <i>S. hamata</i>	50
5.14	The effect of cutting on the height of <i>S. hamata</i>	50
5.15	The effect of phosphorus fertilizer on ground coverage of <i>S. hamata</i>	53
5.16	The effect of weeding on growth of <i>S. hamata</i>	54
5.17	The effect of cutting practice on ground coverage of <i>S. hamata</i>	54
5.18	Comparison between dry matter and ground coverage of Verano stylo ( <i>S. hamata</i> ) and weeds	55
5.19	Comparison between population density and ground coverage of Verano stylo (mature plants + newly germinated plants) and weeds	55
5.20	The effect of phosphorus fertilizer on dry matter of <i>S. hamata</i>	56
5.21	The effect of weeding on dry matter of <i>S. hamata</i>	56
5.22	The effect of cutting on dry matter of <i>S. hamata</i>	57
5.23	Effect of phosphorus fertilizer on broadleaved and grass weed population	64
5.24	Effect of weeding practice on broadleaved and grass weed population	65
5.25	Effect of cutting practice on broadleaved and grass weed population	65
5.26	Effect of phosphorus fertilizer on height of predominant weed species <i>Richardia brasiliensis</i>	67
5.27	Effect of weeding practice on height of predominant weed species <i>Richardia brasiliensis</i>	67
5.28	Effect of cutting practice on height of predominant weed species <i>Richardia brasiliensis</i>	68
5.29	Effect of fertilizer on ground coverage of broadleaved and grass weeds	70



---

5.30	Effect of weeding on ground coverage of broadleaved and grass weeds	70
5.31	Effect of cutting on ground coverage of broadleaved and grass weeds	70
5.32	Effect of phosphorus fertilizer on dry matter of broadleaved and grass weeds	72
5.33	Effect of weeding practice on dry matter of broadleaved and grass weeds	73
5.34	Effect of cutting practice on dry matter of broadleaved and grass weeds	74
5.35	Comparison between height of <i>Stylosanthes hamata</i> and predominant weed from 1 to 25 WAE	74
5.36	Comparison between population of <i>Stylosanthes hamata</i> and broadleaved and grass weeds from 1 to 25 WAE	75
5.37	Comparison between ground coverage of <i>Stylosanthes hamata</i> and broadleaved and grass weeds from 1 to 25 WAE	76
5.38	Comparison between dry matter of <i>Stylosanthes hamata</i> and broadleaved and grass weeds from 1 to 25 WAE	76