

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
<b>ACKNOWLEDGMENTS</b>	iii
<b>ABSTRACT IN ENGLISH</b>	iv
<b>ABSTRACT IN THAI</b>	vi
<b>TABLE OF CONTENTS</b>	ix
<b>LIST OF TABLES</b>	xi
<b>LIST OF FIGURES</b>	xiii
<b>ABBREVIATION</b>	xiv
<b>CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION</b>	1
<b>CHAPTER 2: LITERATURE REVIEW</b>	4
2.1 Rice production practices in relationship to yield and milling quality	4
2.2 Rice quality	6
2.3 Foliar application of micronutrient	6
2.4 Effect of potassium iodide on grain quality of rice	7
2.5 Roles of potassium in plant	8
2.6 Effects of potassium absorbed at different growth stage	9
2.7 Roles of iodine in human	9
<b>CHAPTER 3: MATERIALS AND METHODS</b>	11
3.1 Research methodology	11
3.1.1 Formal survey	11
3.1.2 On-farm trial	11
3.1.3 Field experiment	12
3.2 Data Analysis	15
<b>CHAPTER 4: RICE PRODUCTION SYSTEM IN CHIANG MAI VALLEY: FIELD SURVEY RESULTS</b>	16

4.1	Background	16
4.2	General descriptive of sample household	18
4.3	Agronomic practices	21
4.4	On-farm results	25
4.4.1	Rice yield	25
4.4.2	Milling quality	26
<b>CHAPTER 5: RESULTS OF FIELD EXPERIMENT</b>		<b>38</b>
5.1	Growth Analysis	38
5.2	Average rate of dry matter accumulation	42
5.3	Yield and yield component	44
5.3.1	Grain yield	44
5.3.2	Yield components	45
5.4	Milling quality	49
5.5	Nutritive values	57
<b>CHAPTER 6: DISCUSSION</b>		<b>60</b>
6.1	Field Survey	60
6.1.1	Rice production in Ching Mai valley	60
6.1.2	Agronomic practices	60
6.1.3	The effect of potassium iodide application on yield, milling quality and nutritive values of rice grain	62
6.2	Field Experiment	63
6.2.1	Effect of potassium iodide on growth, yield and yield component of rice	63
6.2.2	Effect of potassium iodide on milling quality and nutritive values of rice	64
<b>CHAPTER 7: CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS</b>		<b>66</b>
<b>REFERENCES</b>		<b>67</b>
<b>APPENDIX</b>		<b>73</b>
<b>CIRRICULUM VITAE</b>		<b>102</b>

## LIST OF TABLES

<b>Table</b>	<b>Page</b>
3.1 Treatment combinations used in the field experiment	13
4.1 The generally description of sample households	20
4.2 Rice varieties being grown in this study area	20
4.3 Average amount of seed used per rai of all districts	21
4.4 Timing of fertilizer application	22
4.5 Number of farmers applying different types of fertilizer at tillering stage	23
4.6 Number of farmers applying different types of fertilizer at booting stage	23
4.7 Average amount of fertilizer use (kgN/rai) by each group of farmers	23
4.8 Average moisture content at harvesting time of all districts	24
4.9 Averages yield of rice of each variety	26
4.10. Averages rice yield of each district	26
4.11 Mean percentage of head rice compared with KI and non-KI foliar application	28
4.12 Mean percentage of broken rice compared with KI and non-KI foliar application	30
4.13 Mean percentage of milled rice compared with KI and non-KI foliar application	31
4.14 Mean percentage of brown rice compared with KI and non-KI foliar application	32
4.15 Mean percentage of husk compared with KI and non-KI foliar application	33
4.16 Mean percentage of bran compared with KI and non-KI foliar application	34
4.17 Mean percentage of grain hardness compared with KI and non-KI foliar application	36
4.18 Mean percentage of iodine content in brown rice compared with KI and non-KI foliar application in various rice varieties	37
5.1 Analysis of variance results of dry matter accumulation	38
5.2 Number of days to maximum culm dry weight from transplanting	39
5.3 Number of days to maximum leave dry weight from transplanting	40
5.4 Maximum culm dry weight	41

5.5	Maximum panicles dry weight	41
5.6	Analysis of variance of average rate of dry matter accumulation	42
5.7	Average rate of culm dry weight accumulation (g/day) from transplanting	43
5.8	Average rate of panicle dry weight accumulation from PI stage	44
5.9	Analysis of variance of grain yields and yield component	44
5.10	Average of grain yield	45
5.11	Number of tillers per hill	45
5.12	Number panicles per hill of KDML 105, KDS and CNT-1 under KI treatments	46
5.13	Number of filled grains per panicle	47
5.14	Percent unfilled grain	48
5.15	Harvest index	49
5.16	Summary of analysis of variance of milling quality	50
5.17	Percentage of milled rice of KDML 105, KDS and CNT-1 under KI treatments	52
5.18	An average of percent brown rice of KDML 105, KDS and CNT-1 at various frequency of potassium iodide foliar application	53
5.19	An average of percentage of husk of KDML 105, KDS and CNT-1 at various frequency of potassium iodide foliar application	54
5.20	Percent bran of KDML 105, KDS and CNT-1 under KI treatment	55
5.21	Analysis of variance results of nutritive values	57
5.22	Percentage of potassium of three varieties; KDML105, KDS and CNT1	58
5.23	Percentage of protein content in brown rice of KDML 105, KDS and CNT-1 under KI treatment	59

**LIST OF FIGURES**

<b>Figure</b>	<b>Page</b>
4.1 Map of Chiang Mai Province	17
4.2 Map of the water surface irrigation system of San Sai, San Pa Tong, San Kamphaeng and Ban Thi district	19
4.3 Purposes of growing rice in these selected areas	21
5.1 Effects of potassium iodide application rate on percentage of head rice	50
5.2 Effects of potassium iodide application rate on percentage of broken rice	51
5.3 Effects of potassium iodide application rate on grain hardness	56

**ABBREVIATION**

CNT-1	:	Chai Nat-1
KDML105	:	Khoa Dawk Mali 105
KDS	:	Kum Doi Saket
NSP	:	Neaw San Patong
PI	:	Panicle initiation
KI	:	Potassium Iodide

มหาวิทยาลัยเชียงใหม่  
Chiang Mai University