

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	iii
ABSTRACT (English)	v
ABSTRACT(Thai)	x
TABLE OF CONTENTS	xiv
LIST OF TABLES	xviii
LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS	xxii
ABBREVIATIONS	xxviii
GENERAL INTRODUCTION	1
LITERATURE REVIEW	7
Peanut or Groundnut	7
Minirhizotrons	12
Root analysis software	14
WinRhizo	14
Root Measurement System (RMS)	16
Quantitative Analysis of Color System (QuaCos)	16
Carbon dioxide and Temperature	17
Effects of long-term CO ₂ enrichment on physiological aspects of peanut yields	17
Effect of temperature on peanut growth	19
Effect of temperature and CO ₂ on plant growth and development	20

	Page
Response of peanut plant to drought	22
<i>Aspergillus flavus</i> associated with aflatoxin production	29
Green fluorescent protein (GFP) <i>Aspergillus flavus</i>	33
Drought and aflatoxin contamination in peanut	34
Seed biochemical components in relation to <i>Aspergillus flavus</i> growth and aflatoxin production	38
EXPERIMENT 1: Effects of temperature and elevated CO₂ on peanut growth and <i>Aspergillus flavus</i> infection	43
Introduction	44
Materials and Methods	47
Sub-experiment 1: Effects of temperature and elevated CO ₂ on peanut growth and <i>Aspergillus flavus</i> infection	47
Sub-experiment 2: Peanut root growth responses to different atmospheric temperature and CO ₂ concentration	55
Results	59
Effects of temperature and elevated CO ₂ on peanut growth and <i>Aspergillus flavus</i> infection (Long-term experiment)	59
Infection and colonization of peanut by <i>A. flavus</i>	67
Peanut root growth responses to different atmospheric temperature and CO ₂ concentration (Short-term experiment)	71
Discussion	75

	Page
EXPERIMENT 3: Invasion pathway of peanut flower by green fluorescence protein <i>Aspergillus flavus</i>	147
Introduction	148
Materials and Methods	150
Results	154
Floral infection	154
Aerial peg infection	160
Discussion	161
SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS	165
REFERENCES	172
APPENDIX	200
CURRICULUM VITAE	208


LIST OF TABLES

Table	Description of table	Page
2.1	Nutrient components of half strength Hoagland's solution for peanut culture	54
2.2	Vegetative growth and total biomass at 112 days after planting of peanut grown in three atmospheric CO ₂ levels and two air temperature treatments.	65
2.3	Relative <i>Aspergillus flavus</i> population density as estimated by green fluorescence color value (64 × 48 groups of each image) in the root zone at 5 cm soil depth at harvest of Tainan 9 peanut grown under 6 different CO ₂ by temperature combinations in the growth chambers of the Georgia Envirotron.	70
3.1	Significant differences for plant traits measured at 25 to 109 days after planting (DAP).	109
3.2	Area of 100 leaves plant ⁻¹ measured at 120 DAP of 3 peanut genotypes grown under 4 water treatments at a green house of the Georgia Envirotron, GA, 2002.	121
3.3	Specific leaf area of 100 leaves plant ⁻¹ measured at 120 DAP of 3 peanut genotypes grown under 4 water treatments at a green house of the Georgia Envirotron, GA, 2002.	122

Table	Description of table	Page
3.4	Shoot dry weight of 3 peanut genotypes grown under 4 water treatments in a green house of the Georgia Envirotron, GA, 2002.	122
3.5	Dry weight of mature peanut pods grown under 4 water treatments in a green house of the Georgia Envirotron, GA, 2002.	123
3.6	Relative <i>Aspergillus flavus</i> population density (64 × 48 groups of each image) on the root zone at 5 cm depth soil layer of three peanut genotypes grown under 4 water regimes at the Georgia Envirotron, GA, 2002.	127
3.7	Peanut pod shell infection by <i>Aspergillus flavus</i> grown under 4 different water regimes at a green house of the Georgia Envirotron.	127
3.8	Peanut seed infection by <i>Aspergillus flavus</i> grown under 4 different water regimes at a green house of the Georgia Envirotron.	128
3.9	Colonization of pod shell and seed of three peanut genotypes by <i>Aspergillus flavus</i> as affected by inoculation and irrigation methods.	131

Table	Description of table	Page
3.10	Mean square error values from analyses of variance of condensed tannin (CT) and mineral element (Ca, Mg, Fe, Mn, and Zn) contents in pod shell and seed coat of three peanut genotypes as affected by inoculation and irrigation methods.	132
3.11	Means of condensed tannin (CT) and mineral contents in pod shell and seed coat of non-inoculated and inoculated plant by <i>Aspergillus flavus</i> .	133
3.12	Means of condensed tannin (CT) and mineral contents in pod shell and seed coat of three peanut genotypes.	133
3.13	Means of condensed tannin (CT) and mineral contents in pod shell and seed coat of peanut as affected by well-watered and water-deficit conditions.	134
3.14	Linear regression equations and coefficients between seed infection by <i>Aspergillus flavus</i> (%) and content of Mg and Mn in seed coat (g kg^{-1}) of three peanut genotypes ($n = 4$).	135
3.15	Effects of inoculation and irrigation methods on crude protein and crude fat contents in the cotyledon of three peanut genotypes.	136

Table	Description of table	Page
4.1	<i>Aspergillus flavus</i> colonization of aerial pegs for three peanut genotypes at 10 days after inoculation.	160



ลิขสิทธิ์มหาวิทยาลัยเชียงใหม่
 Copyright © by Chiang Mai University
 All rights reserved

LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS

Figure	Description of figure	Page
1.1	Peanut plant (A) and peanut flower components (B).	9
1.2	Peanut harvest area, yield, and production trend in the world since 1961 to 2001.	10
1.3	Peanut harvest area, yield, and production trend in Thailand since 1961 to 2001.	11
2.1	Two peanut plants growing in 20-L containers, each fitted with a minirhizotron observation tube at 5 cm soil depth.	49
2.2	Two peanut plants growing in a rhizotron (A) and schematic representation of subdivisions of transparent surface for image acquisition (B).	59
2.3	Effect of temperatures and CO ₂ concentrations on main stem length at different plant ages. Letters above each plant age indicate significant differences ($P \leq 0.05$) between 25/15°C and 35/25°C temperature treatments, T; between CO ₂ levels (400, 600, 800 $\mu\text{mol mol}^{-1}$), C; and interaction between temperature and CO ₂ , T \times C.	61

Figure	Description of figure	Page
2.4	Effect of temperature and [CO ₂] of on individual leaf area (a) and leaf dry weight (b) at different plant ages. Letters above each plant age indicate significant differences ($P \leq 0.05$) between 25/15°C and 35/25°C temperature treatments, T; between CO ₂ levels (400, 600, 800 $\mu\text{mol mol}^{-1}$), C; and interaction between temperature and CO ₂ , T \times C.	62
2.5	Relation between CO ₂ concentration and pod dry weight per plant of peanut at 25/15°C and 35/25°C.	66
2.6	Total root length at different temperature regimes and CO ₂ concentrations as observed by minirhizotron camera with increasing days after planting. Letters indicated significant effects of temperature (T), CO ₂ (C), and interaction between temperature and CO ₂ (T \times C), ($P \leq 0.05$).	66
2.7	Effect of temperatures and CO ₂ concentrations on aerial peg infection by <i>Aspergillus flavus</i> observed at 85 DAP (a) and <i>A. flavus</i> population in the soil at 85 days after planting (b). □ = 25/15°C, ■ = 35/25°C.	69
2.8	Effects of temperature and CO ₂ concentration on number of first order branch roots generated from main root axis. LSD (0.05) temperature = 8.89.	73

Figure	Description of figure	Page
2.9	Visible root length for different temperature regimes and CO ₂ concentrations as observed by minirhizotron camera at different days after planting. Letters indicate significant effects of temperature (T), CO ₂ (C), and interaction between temperature and CO ₂ (T × C), (P≤0.05).	73
2.10	Effect of CO ₂ concentration and temperature on visible root length as measured by RMS in five 100-mm soil layers.	74
2.11	Relationship between total root length washed from entire soil and visible root length at the transparent surface of rhizotrons at 17 DAP response to different temperature and CO ₂ regimes.	74
3.1	Nine peanut plants growing in a 200-L cylindrical container (Dimension: 80 cm diameter × 40 cm high), filled with sandy loam soil with 1 plant hill ⁻¹ at a spacing of 20 × 25 cm.	92
3.2	Soil moisture potential at three depths through 24 cycles for 3 peanut genotypes. Water applied at each 3-to 4-day intervals (T1).	101
3.3	Soil moisture potential at three depths through 12 cycles for three peanut genotypes. Water applied at 7-day intervals (T2).	102
3.4	Soil moisture potential at three depths through 6 cycles for three peanut genotypes. Water applied at 14-day intervals (T3).	103
3.5	Soil moisture potential at three depths through 4 cycles for three peanut genotypes. Water applied at 21-day intervals (T4).	104

Figure	Description of figure	Page
3.6	Leaflet numbers (A) and total leaf area (B) of Tainan 9 peanut genotype response to four water treatments, as measured by non-destructive method at different plant ages.	111
3.7	Leaflet numbers (A) and total leaf area (B) of 419CC peanut genotype response to four water treatments, as measured by non-destructive method at different plant ages.	112
3.8	Leaflet numbers (A) and total leaf area (B) of 511CC peanut genotype response to four water treatments, as measured by non-destructive method at different plant ages.	113
3.9	Main stem length of three peanut genotypes growing under four water treatments.	114
3.10	Root length at four soil depth for three peanut genotypes growing under four water regimes; observed at 93 DAP.	116
3.11	Root length at four soil depth for three peanut genotypes growing under four water regimes; observed at 79 DAP.	117
3.12	Root length at four soil depth for three peanut genotypes growing under four water regimes; observed at 93 DAP.	118
3.13	Root length at four soil depth for three peanut genotypes growing under four water regimes; observed at 107 DAP.	120


Figure	Description of figure	Page
3.14	Root image as observed by a minirhizotron camera: (A) observed with a white light and (B) observed with UV light. (C) <i>Aspergillus flavus</i> population density on roots as estimated by QuaCos.	125
3.15	Pod image as observed by a minirhizotron camera: (A) observed with a white light and (B) observed with UV light. (C) <i>Aspergillus flavus</i> population density on pod as estimated by QuaCos.	126
4.1	Three peanut genotypes growing in modified half-strength Hoagland's solution of a hydroponic system at Lampang Agricultural Research and Training Center.	153
4.2	Photomicrograph of peanut flower infection by GFP <i>Aspergillus flavus</i> after staining with cotton blue in lactophenol and observed under light microscopy. A , Stigma (ST) and Pollen grains (PG) of noninoculated flower. B-D , Fungal hyphae (FH) growing on surface of stigma and colonized pollen grains at 24 hr after inoculation. Magnifications: A, $\times 100$; B-D, $\times 200$.	155
4.3	Bright green fluorescent conidia (C) and fungal hyphae (FH) of GFP <i>Aspergillus flavus</i> observed with a UV-illuminating microscope. A-B , Conidia germinated and colonized pollen grains (PG) at 24 hr after inoculation. C , Conidia germinated on the tip of stigma (ST). D , Fungal hyphae growing on surface and colonizing surface of stigma at 48 hr after inoculation. Magnifications: A, $\times 200$; B, $\times 400$; C, $\times 400$; D, $\times 100$.	156

Figure	Description of figure	Page
4.4	<p>Fluorescence micrographs of GFP <i>Aspergillus flavus</i> growth on inoculated peanut flowers. A-J, Fungal hyphae penetrated through the style of peanut (the same style but different portions). K-M, Fluorescing hyphae occurred in the top of the ovary and outside ovary surface 24 hr after inoculation. N, Colonizing of fungal hyphae inside ovary tissue 48 hr after inoculation. O, Network of fungal hyphae colonized the ovule 48 hr after inoculation. P-R, Conidia germinated on anther and filament of peanut. Magnifications: A-M and P-Q, ×100; N-O, ×200.</p>	157
4.5	<p>Floral infection by GFP <i>Aspergillus flavus</i> observed at 48 hr after inoculation. A, Sporulation of GFP <i>A. flavus</i> on hypanthia cultured on selective medium. B, Conidiophores and conidia on a peanut flower.</p>	159

ABBREVIATIONS

AAS	Atomic absorption spectrophotometry
Ca	Calcium
CC	Core collection number
CT	Condensed tannin
[CO ₂]	Carbon dioxide concentrations
DAP	Days after planting
F	Inoculation treatment
F+	Inoculated plant
F0	Noninoculated plant
Fe	Iron
FAA	Formalin-acetic acid-alcohol
G	Genotype
GFP	Green fluorescent protein
I	Irrigation treatment
LA	Leaf area
LSD	Least significant difference
Mg	Magnesium
Mn	Manganese
PAC	Preharvest aflatoxin contamination
QuaCos	Quantitative Analysis of Color System
RH	Relative humidity

RLD	Root length density
RMS	Root Measurement System
SLA	Specific leaf area
WW	Well-watered
WD	Water-deficit



ลิขสิทธิ์มหาวิทยาลัยเชียงใหม่
Copyright © by Chiang Mai University
All rights reserved