

## TABLE OF CONTENT

<b>Acknowledgements</b>	iii
<b>Abstract (Thai)</b>	v
<b>Abstract (English)</b>	viii
<b>List of figures</b>	xiv
<b>List of tables</b>	xviii
<b>CHAPTER I INTRODUCTION</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1 Background	1
1.2 Rationale	7
1.3 Objectives of the study	9
<b>CHAPTER II LITERATURE REVIEW</b>	<b>10</b>
2.1 Technology adoption	10
2.1.1 Role of chemical fertilizers	12
2.1.2 Role of the liming process	15
2.1.3 Role of extension in technology adoption	16
2.2 Factors affecting technology adoption	17
2.3 Use of logit and multinomial logistic models in adoption study	22
<b>CHAPTER III RESEARCH METHODS</b>	<b>25</b>
3.1 Site selection	25
3.2 Sampling technique	27
3.3 Data collection	27
3.3.1 Secondary data	27
3.3.2 Primary data	28
3.4 Data analysis	28

**TABLE OF CONTENT (Continued)**

<b>CHAPTER IV BIOPHYSICAL AND SOCIO-ECONOMIC CHARACTERISTICS OF MAIZE CROPPING SYSTEM IN THE STUDY AREA</b>	<b>37</b>
4.1 Biophysical environment	37
4.1.1 Climate	38
4.1.2 Soil	39
4.2 General characteristics study area	39
4.2.1 Cropping systems	41
4.2. Variety	41
4.2.3 Characteristics of production systems	44
4.2.4 Marketing	50
4.3 Characteristic of the farm household	52
4.4 Characteristics of the household adopting chemical fertilizer in the study area	57
4.5 Characteristics of households with relation to the chemical fertilizer adoption	59
4.6 Summary	65
<b>CHAPTER V PROFITABILITY OF MAIZE PRODUCTION IN STUDY AREA</b>	<b>66</b>
5.1 Cost of production	66
5.1.1 Cost of production between two Townships	66
5.1.2 Cost of production between chemical fertilizer adopters and non adopters	71
5.1.3 Contribution of major cost components in cost of production	73
5.1.4 Cost of production between different varieties	77

**TABLE OF CONTENT (Continued)**

5.2 Profitability of maize production in two Townships	82
5.3 Profitability among chemical fertilizer adopters and non adopters	85
5.4 Sensitivity analysis	92
5.5 Summary	99
<b>CHAPTER VI FACTORS AFFECTING THE ADOPTION OF CHEMICAL FERTILIZERS IN MAIZE CROPPING SYSTEMS</b>	<b>100</b>
6.1 Logit model for the adoption of chemical fertilizers	100
6.2 Multinomial logit model for the adoption of different levels of chemical fertilizers	107
6.3 Summary	110
<b>CHAPTER VII CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION</b>	<b>112</b>
7.1 Conclusions	112
7.2 Discussion	115
7.3 Recommendations	124
<b>REFERENCES</b>	<b>128</b>
<b>APPENDICES</b>	<b>137</b>
Appendix A Maps of Myanmar	138
Appendix B Software Used	141
<b>CURRICULUM VITAE</b>	<b>142</b>

## LIST OF FIGURES

Figure		Page
1.1	Sown area and production of maize (1989-2007)	4
1.2	Use of chemical fertilizer ('000 MT)	5
3.1	Location of study area, Taunggyi district, Myanmar	26
4.1	Distribution of rainfall in study area	38
4.2	Soil fertility in study area	39
4.3	Different maize varieties sown by farmers in two townships	42
4.4	Cropping pattern in the study area	45
4.5	Percentage of households by size of maize cultivated area in Yatsauk township	45
4.6	Percentage of households by size of maize cultivated area in Pindaya township	46
4.7	Average monthly rainfalls in the study area	48
4.8	Comparison of average total income per household in Yatsauk and Pindaya townships	54
4.9	Awareness of lime application by households in Yatsauk township	55
4.10	Awareness of lime application by households in Pindaya township	55
4.11	Number of households engaged in livestock rearing at Yatsauk township	56
4.12	Number of households engaged in livestock rearing at Pindaya township	56

### LIST OF FIGURES (Continued)

Figure		Page
4.13	Percentage of farmers adopted chemical fertilizers in maize cultivation in study area	58
4.14	Comparison of chemical fertilizer use in two Townships	58
4.15	Percentage of households who face soil acidity by adopters and non adopters	59
4.16	Average off-farm income between adopters and non adopters	60
4.17	Average land-labor ratio between adopters and non adopters	60
4.18	No of extension officers' visit to maize field by adopters and non adopters	61
4.19	Average yield of households between adopters and non adopters	62
4.20	Average amount of compost used by households between adopters and non adopters	63
4.21	Total maize area of households between adopters and non adopters	63
4.22	Average land-labor ratio between household adopters and non adopters	64
5.1	Average seed cost of three maize varieties in two Townships	67
5.2	Percentage of households using different varieties in the study area	67
5.3	Cost of chemical fertilizer by different varieties in the study area	68
5.4	Average seed cost of five maize varieties between adopters and non adopters	72
5.5	Contribution of cost of major components in maize cultivation	74
5.6	Cost of production in maize production	75

### LIST OF FIGURES (Continued)

Figure		Page
5.7	Total cost of production in different varieties in the study area	77
5.8	Total cost by using different varieties in adopters	81
5.9	Total cost by using different varieties in non adopters	81
5.10	Average yield of maize in two townships	83
5.11	Average prices per kilogram of maize in two townships	84
5.12	Total revenue and total variable cost in two Townships	84
5.13	Gross margin between different households in two Townships	85
5.14	Average yields between adopters and non adopters in two Townships	86
5.15	Average prices between adopters and non adopters in two Townships	86
5.16	Average yields by different varieties between adopters and non adopters	87
5.17	Average cost of compost by different varieties between adopters and non adopters	88
5.18	Gross margin by different varieties between adopters and non adopters	90
5.19	Profit by different varieties between adopters and non adopters	90
5.20	Average yields by different varieties in 2007-08 and 2008-09	93
5.21	World maize prices in 2000 to 2009.	94
5.22	Average prices by different varieties in 2007-08 and 2008-09	94
5.23	Profitability by different varieties in 2007-08 and 2008-09	96
5.24	Average cost per kilogram of maize in 2007-08 and 2008-09	96
5.25	Profit per kilogram of maize in 2007-08 and 2008-09	97
5.26	Profitability between different fertilizer rates used by households in the study area	98

**LIST OF FIGURES (Continued)**

Figure		Page
7.1	Yield and chemical fertilizer in two group in Yatsauk	121
7.2	Yield and chemical fertilizer in two group in Pindaya	122
7.3	Percent of farmers used different fertilizer ratio in the study area	123

ลิขสิทธิ์มหาวิทยาลัยเชียงใหม่  
 Copyright© by Chiang Mai University  
 All rights reserved

## LIST OF TABLES

Table	Page
1.1 Crop productions in Myanmar, 2007	2
1.2 Fertilizer import (2003-2004 MT)	6
3.1 Definition of variables for adoption study	30
4.1 Sown area and production of various crops in two townships in 2008	40
4.2 Packages of Technology for Hybrid Maize Cultivation	43
4.3 Characteristics of production systems in two Townships	49
4.4 Average yield group of maize in the study area in 2009	49
4.5 Average annual prices of maize (kyat viss <sup>-1</sup> ) in different wholesale markets	50
4.6 Average price group of maize in the study area in 2009	52
4.7 Comparison of the characteristics of surveyed households	53
4.8 Average income from different activities in the study area	54
4.9 Household adoption of chemical fertilizer application in two townships	58
4.10 Comparison of main characteristics between adopters and non adopters	61
4.11 Characteristics of production systems between chemical fertilizers adopters and non Adopters	64
5.1 Average cost of production per kilogram of maize grain between two Townships	69
5.2 Average cost of production per hectare between two Townships	71
5.3 Average cost of production between chemical fertilizer adopters and non adopters	73
5.4 Contribution of cost of major components in maize production	74
5.5 Breakdown of interest, labor and machinery costs in the study area	76

### LIST OF TABLES (Continued)

Table	Page
5.6 Cost of production between different maize varieties in adopters	79
5.7 Cost of production between different maize varieties in non adopters	80
5.8 Gross margin between chemical fertilizer adopters and non adopters using different varieties	91
5.9 Gross margin between chemical fertilizer adopters and non adopters in two Townships	92
5.10 Profitability between different chemical fertilizer rates in the study area	98
6.1 Logistic regression analysis for the adoption of chemical fertilizers in maize cropping system	101
6.2 Omnibus Tests of Model Coefficients	105
6.3 Classification table <sup>a</sup>	105
6.4 Model summary	106
6.5 Hosmer and Lemeshow Test	107
6.6 Results of Multinomial logit model for the adoption of chemical fertilizers	108