

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<b>Page</b>
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	iii
ABSTRACT (ENGLISH)	iv
ABSTRACT (THAI)	vi
TABLE OF CONTENTS	viii
LIST OF TABLES	xi
LIST OF FIGURES	xii
LIST OF APPENDIX TABLES	xiv
LIST OF APPENDIX FIGURES	xv
CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION	1
CHAPTER 2 LITERATURE REVIEW	4
2.1 Purple glutinous rice	4
2.2 Phenological development and growth of rice	5
2.3 Leaf development of rice	6
2.4 Factors effecting phenological development and growth	8
2.5 STELLA	9
2.6 Crop growth model	10
2.7 Application of crop simulation model	13
CHAPTER 3 CORRELATION AND PATH COEFFICIENT ANALYSIS FOR AGRONOMIC CHARACTERISTICS, GRAIN YIELD AND TOTAL PHENOLIC CONTENT OF NATIVE PURPLE GLUTINOUS RICE	17
Abstract	17
3.1 Introduction	18

3.2	Materials and Methods	19
3.3	Results and Discussion	20
3.4	Conclusion	26
<b>CHAPTER 4</b>	<b>COMPARISON OF AGRONOMIC CHARACTERISTICS AND TOTAL PHENOLIC CONTENT OF NATIVE PURPLE GLUTINOUS RICE</b>	<b>27</b>
	Abstract	27
4.1	Introduction	28
4.2	Materials and Methods	29
	4.2.1 Determination of total phenolic content	30
	4.2.2 Statistical Analysis	31
4.3	Results and Discussion	31
4.4	Conclusion	39
<b>CHAPTER 5</b>	<b>PURPLE GLUTINOUS RICE MODEL</b>	<b>40</b>
	Abstract	40
5.1	Introduction	41
5.2	Materials and Methods	42
	5.2.1 Field experiment and data collection	42
5.3	Model description	43
	5.3.1 Planting date and phenology	44
	5.3.2 Rice growth	45
	5.3.3 Nitrogen sub model	48
	5.3.4 Total phenolic content	52
5.4	Model assumption	52
5.5	Model simulation	52
5.6	Model input	53
	5.6.1 Planting date	53

5.6.2	Fertilizer input	53
5.6.3	Weather input	53
5.6.4	Phenology data	57
5.7	Model simulation output	58
5.7.1	Biomass accumulation (CropWeight)	58
5.7.2	Grain yield	62
5.7.3	Total phenolic content	64
5.8	Model validation	67
5.9	Conclusion	68
	REFERENCES	70
	APPENDICES	83
	APPENDIX A	84
	APPENDIX B	86
	APPENDIX C	89
	APPENDIX D	96
	APPENDIX E	100
	APPENDIX F	106
	CURRICULUM VITAE	109

## LIST OF TABLES

<b>Table</b>		<b>Page</b>
3.1	Purple glutinous rice varieties used in this study.	19
3.2	Correlation coefficient among agronomic characteristics and grain yield of purple glutinous rice.	21
3.3	Direct and indirect effect of agronomic characteristics on grain yield.	23
3.4	Correlation coefficient among agronomic characteristics and total phenolic content of purple glutinous rice.	25
3.5	Direct and indirect effect of agronomic characteristics on total phenolic content.	26
4.1	Purple glutinous rice varieties used in this study.	30
4.2	Growing Degree Day (°C) required from planting to heading and maturity.	32
4.3	Agronomic characteristics of purple glutinous rice in this study.	35
4.4	Pearson correlation between agronomic characteristics, grain yield and total phenolic content.	36
4.5	Rice variety group as summarized from dendrogram generated by hierarchical cluster.	39

## LIST OF FIGURES

<b>Figure</b>		<b>Page</b>
3.1	Diagrammatic representation of direct and indirect effect of agronomic characteristics on grain yield.	24
4.1	Relationship between GDD required from planting to heading and GDD required from to heading to maturity.	33
4.2	Relationship between GDD required from planting to maturity and panicle length.	34
4.3	Relationship between 1,000 - grain weight and panicle length.	34
4.4	Average amount of total phenolic content in brown rice grain	37
4.5	Dendogram generated by hierarchical cluster analysis of total phenolic contents and grain yield of purple glutinous rice.	38
5.1	Structure of purple glutinous rice model.	43
5.2	Observed solar radiation at Multiple Cropping Center weather station in 2008.	46
5.3	Yield converter as a function of 1000-grain weight	47
5.4	Root – shoot ratio (RSR) as a function of growing period.	48
5.5	Recovery fraction (root nitrogen uptake). Maximum recovery fraction is assumed to be at panicle initiation.	50
5.6	Simulated and observed biomass accumulation (Crop weight) of MHS1, PGMHS 6 and PGMHS 15 planted on 9 August.	59
5.7	Simulated and observed biomass accumulation (Crop weight) of MHS1, PGMHS 6 and PGMHS 15 planted on 1 September.	60
5.8	Simulated and observed biomass accumulation (Crop weight) of Samoeng 3 and PGMHS 17 planted on 9 August.	60
5.9	Simulated and observed biomass accumulation (Crop weight) of Samoeng 3 and PGMHS 17 planted on 1 September.	61

5.10	Observed maximum biomass accumulation (Crop weight) using 3rd order polynomial function of MHS1, PGMHS 6 and PGMHS 15 planted on 9 August as compared to simulated biomass accumulation.	61
5.11	Observed maximum biomass accumulation (Crop weight) using 3rd order polynomial function of Samoeng 3 and PGMHS 17 planted on 9 August as compared to simulated biomass accumulation.	62
5.12	Comparison of simulated and observed grain yield for 5 varieties and 2 planting dates shown in 1:1 line graph.	63
5.13	Difference between simulated and observed grain yield.	63
5.14	Simulated total phenolic content in leave as a function of growing period.	64
5.15	Simulated total phenolic content in stem as a function of growing period.	64
5.16	Position of grains on panicle i.e. upper, middle and lower where total phenolic content was determined.	65
5.17	Grains total phenolic content (a) upper part (b) middle part and (c) lower part of the panicle.	66
5.18	Model validation as compared the simulated and observed biomass accumulation (Crop weight) of purple glutinous rice varieties planted by Kuppatarat (2010).	67
5.19	Model validation as compared the simulated and observed grain yield shown in 1:1 line graph. Observed data were obtained from Kuppatarat (2010).	68

**LIST OF APPENDIX TABLES**

<b>Appendix Table</b>		<b>Page</b>
1	Alphabetical list of all acronyms used in the model.	94
2	Daily growing degree day, day length and solar radiation.	98

### LIST OF APPENDIX FIGURES

Appendix Figure		Page
1	Simulated yield of MHS1 planted at 1 July, 15 July, 1 August and 15 August.	106
2	Simulated yield of PGMHS17 planted at 1 July, 15 July, 1 August and 15 August.	106
3	Simulated yield of MHS1 when applied with 0, 40, 75, 125 and 175 kgN/ha.	107
4	Simulated yield of PGMHS 17 when applied with 0, 40, 75, 125 and 175 kgN/ha.	107
5	Simulated biomass accumulation of MHS1 and PGMHS 17 applied with 0, 40, 75, 125 and 175 kgN/ha.	108