

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<b>Page</b>
<b>ACKNOWLEDGMENTS</b>	iii
<b>ABSTRACT (ENGLISH)</b>	iv
<b>ABSTRACT (THAI)</b>	vi
<b>TABLE OF CONTENTS</b>	viii
<b>LIST OF TABLES</b>	xiii
<b>LIST OF FIGURES</b>	xv
<b>ABBREVIATIONS</b>	xvii
<b>CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION</b>	1
1.1 Rationale	1
1.2 Background	3
1.2.1 Lumbopelvic stability	3
1.2.2 Lateral abdominal muscles function	4
1.2.3 Lumbar multifidus muscle function	11
1.2.4 Ultrasound imaging measurement	12
1.2.4.1 Mode of ultrasound image	15
1.2.4.2 Use of RUSI for assessment of musculoskeletal function	15
1.2.5 Olympic-style weightlifting	18
1.3 Purposes of the study	20

	<b>Page</b>
1.4 The organization of the thesis	21
<b>CHAPTER 2 LITERATURE REVIEW</b>	<b>22</b>
2.1 Intrarater and interrater reliability of lateral abdominal muscles thickness measurement using B-mode ultrasound imaging	22
2.1.1 Introduction	22
2.1.2 Methods	23
2.1.2.1 Subjects	23
2.1.2.2 Procedure	24
2.1.2.3 Statistical analysis	24
2.1.3 Results	25
2.1.4 Discussion	29
2.2 Intrarater and interrater reliability of assessment of lumbar multifidus muscle cross-sectional area assessment using ultrasound imaging	31
2.2.1 Introduction	31
2.2.2 Methods	33
2.2.2.1 Subjects	33
2.2.2.2 Procedure	33
2.2.2.3 Statistical analysis	34
2.2.3 Results	34
2.2.4 Discussion	39

	<b>Page</b>
<b>CHAPTER 3 METHOD</b>	42
3.1 Comparison of lateral abdominal muscles size between weightlifters and matched control subjects	42
3.1.1 Introduction	42
3.1.2 Methods	43
3.1.2.1 Subjects	43
3.1.2.2 Procedure	44
3.1.2.3 Statistical analysis	44
3.1.3 Results	45
3.1.4 Discussion	47
3.2 Thickness of lateral abdominal muscles in elite female Thai weightlifters	49
3.2.1 Introduction	49
3.2.2 Methods	51
3.2.2.1 Subjects	51
3.2.2.2 Procedure	51
3.2.2.3 Statistical analysis	52
3.2.3 Results	52
3.2.4 Discussion	56
3.3 Transversus abdominis muscle thickness and contraction ratio among elite weightlifters with and without low back pain	57

	<b>Page</b>
3.3.1 Introduction	57
3.3.2 Methods	59
3.3.2.1 Subjects	59
3.3.2.2 Procedure	60
3.3.2.3 Statistical analysis	61
3.3.3 Results	61
3.3.4 Discussion	63
<b>CHAPTER 4 RESULTS</b>	<b>66</b>
4.1 Multifidus muscle size and symmetry among elite weightlifters	66
4.1.1 Introduction	66
4.1.2 Methods	68
4.1.2.1 Subjects	68
4.1.2.2 Procedure	69
4.1.2.3 Statistical analysis	70
4.1.3 Results	71
4.1.4 Discussion	74
4.2 Lumbar multifidus muscles contraction ratio among elite weightlifters with and without low back pain	78
4.2.1 Introduction	78
4.2.2 Methods	79
4.2.2.1 Subjects	79

	<b>Page</b>
4.2.2.2 Procedure	79
4.2.2.3 Statistical analysis	80
4.2.3 Results	81
4.2.4 Discussion	81
<b>CHAPTER 5 DISCUSSION</b>	<b>83</b>
<b>REFERENCES</b>	<b>85</b>
<b>APPENDICES</b>	<b>100</b>
APPENDIX 1: Ultrasound imaging measurement	101
APPENDIX 2: Ethical clearance	107
APPENDIX 3: Consent form	109
APPENDIX 4: Questionnaire form	111
APPENDIX 5: Publications	114
<b>CURRICULUM VITAE</b>	<b>117</b>

## LIST OF TABLES

<b>Table</b>		<b>Page</b>
2-1	Descriptive data of subjects (n=10)	26
2-2	Mean and SD of LAM thickness	27
2-3	The intrainage, intrarater reliability	27
2-4	The interimage, intrarater reliability within day	28
2-5	The interimage, intrarater reliability between day	28
2-6	The interrater reliability	29
2-7	Average CSA of LM (mean $\pm$ SD)	35
2-8	Intrarater reliability across repeated measurement of the same image (intrainage reliability)	36
2-9	Intrarater reliability across three images in novice (interimage reliability)	37
2-10	Interrater reliability based on the average of three measures per rater	38
3-1	Characteristics of subject groups	45
3-2	Comparison of absolute lateral abdominal muscle thickness between weightlifters and matched controls	46
3-3	Comparison of relative lateral abdominal muscle thickness between weightlifters and matched controls	46
3-4	Characteristics of elite Thai national Female weightlifters (n=16)	53

<b>Table</b>	<b>Page</b>
3-5 Absolute lateral abdominal muscle thickness in elite Thai national female weightlifters (n=16)	53
3-6 Relative lateral abdominal muscle thickness in elite Thai national female weightlifters (n=16)	54
3-7 Contraction ratio of lateral abdominal muscle in elite Thai national female weightlifters (n=16)	55
3-8 Characteristics of elite weightlifters (mean $\pm$ SD) (n=16)	62
3-9 Mean and SD of TrA muscle thickness (mm), relative muscle thickness (%) and contraction ratio (CR) of asymptomatic and LBP group	63
4-1 Characteristics of elite weightlifters (mean $\pm$ SE) (n=31)	72
4-2 Marginal means of CSA of LM muscle (cm <sup>2</sup> )	73
4-3 Asymmetry (percentage difference between sides, relative to larger side) of MF for elite weightlifters with symptomatic LBP and asymptomatic LBP	73
4-4 Marginal means of the contraction ratio in elite weightlifters with and without LBP	81

## LIST OF FIGURES


<b>Figure</b>		<b>Page</b>
1-1	Spinal stability model	4
1-2	Lateral abdominal muscles include external oblique, internal oblique and transversus abdominis muscles	5
1-3	External oblique muscles	6
1-4	Internal oblique muscles	8
1-5	Transversus abdominis muscles	8
1-6	Lumbar multifidus muscles	12
3-1	Relative muscle thickness of LAM (mean $\pm$ SD). The muscles are shown in order of descending relative thickness; the same order is seen in both weightlifters and matched controls	47
A1-1	Ultrasound imaging with a 12-MHz linear array was used to measure lateral abdominal muscles (LAM) thickness. The ultrasound transducer was aligned perpendicular to the right side of anterolateral abdominal wall	103



<b>Figure</b>	<b>Page</b>
A1-2	103
<p>Ultrasound image of lateral abdominal muscles at rest. Muscle thickness of transversus abdominis (TrA), internal oblique (IO), external oblique (EO), internal and external oblique (IEO) and total of lateral muscles (Total) were measured from superior to inferior border of each muscle</p>	
A1-3	105
<p>Ultrasound imaging with a 5-MHz curvilinear array was used to measure lumbar multifidus muscles (LM) in a prone lying with a pillow placed under the hip to minimize the lumbar lordosis</p>	
A1-4	105
<p>Bilateral transverse images at the L3 vertebral level showing the shadow of the spinous process in the center of the image and the lumbar multifidus muscle, with and without the CSAs traced</p>	
A1-5	106
<p>Ultrasound image of right lumbar multifidus (LM) muscle in longitudinal section. Sacrum (S) and thoracolumbar fascia (TLF) were identified in the image. LM muscles thickness were measured from the tip of L4-5 and L5-S1 zygapophyseal joint to the inside edge of the superior border of LM</p>	

## ABBREVIATIONS

ADIM	Abdominal drawing-in maneuver
ANCOVA	An analysis of covariance
ANOVA	Analysis of variance
BMI	Body mass index
B-mode	Brightness mode
CI	Confidence interval
CNS	Central nervous system
CR	Contraction ratio
CSA	Cross-sectional area
CV	Coefficient of variation
EMG	Electromyography
EO	External oblique
IAP	Intra-abdominal pressure
ICC	Intraclass correlation coefficient
IEO	Internal and external oblique
IO	Internal oblique
LAM	Lateral abdominal muscles
LBP	Low back pain
LM	Lumbar multifidus
LOA	Limit of agreements



LPS	Lumbopelvic stability
MDC	Minimal detectable change
ME	Method error
M-mode	Motion mode
MRI	Magnetic resonance imaging
MVC	Maximal voluntary contractions
NIH	National Institutes of Health
PBU	Pressure biofeedback unit
RUSI	Rehabilitative ultrasound imaging
SD	Standard deviation
SE	Standard error
SEM	Standard error of the measurement
TLF	Thoracolumbar fascia
TrA	Transversus abdominis
VAS	Visual analogue scale