

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
Acknowledgement	iii
Abstract (Thai)	iv
Abstract (English)	vi
List of Tables	xiii
List of Figures	xv
Chapter 1 Introduction	1
1.1 Significance of the Problem	1
1.2 Problem Statement: The Case of Mea-hiya Community	3
1.3 Research Objectives	11
1.4 Research Questions	12
1.5 Definitions	12
1.6 Novel Contribution	13
1.7 Organization of the Thesis	14
Chapter 2 Literature Reviews	15
2.1 Traditional Knowledge (TK) Terminology	16
2.1.1 Traditional Knowledge Definition	17
2.1.2 Thai Traditional Knowledge	21
2.2 Traditional Knowledge Characteristics	21
2.3 Protect Traditional Knowledge	23
2.4 Infringement of the Traditional Knowledge: Case Study in Thailand and other Countries	27
2.4.1 Biological Resources	27
2.4.2 Case Study: Patent for Turmeric	28
2.4.3 Case Study: Patent for Kwaw Khrua	28
2.4.4 Case Study: Hermit Posture	29
2.4.5 Case Study: Improper Exploitations of Cultural Property and Folklore in 4 Regional Parts of Thailand	30
2.5 Scope of Traditional Knowledge Protection in International Level	31
2.6 UNESCO Framework	31
2.6.1 Convention for the Safeguarding of the Intangible Cultural Heritage 2003	32
2.6.2 Convention on the Protection and Promotion of the Diversity of Cultural Expression 2005	34
2.7 WIPO Framework	37
2.7.1 WIPO Positive and Defensive Protection of Traditional Knowledge	37

	Page
2.8 Others Related Scopes of Traditional Knowledge	39
Protection at International Level	
2.8.1 Berne Convention for the Protection of Literary and Artistic Works	39
2.8.2 Convention on Biological Diversity	39
2.9 Thai Legal Protection on Traditional Knowledge	41
2.9.1 Vague Status of Thai Traditional Knowledge and Traditional Culture Protection Laws	42
2.9.2 Intellectual Property Law & Traditional Knowledge Protection	43
2.10 Cultural Management in Thailand	44
2.11 Sustainable Development	49
2.12 Cultural Diffusion	55
2.12.1 Modern Culture Hearths and Cultural Diffusion	58
2.12.2 Diffusion Concept: the Development of Thailand and Traditional Knowledge	59
2.13 Intellectual Capital (IC)	62
2.13.1 Defining Intellectual Capital (IC)	62
2.13.2 Intellectual Capital: Beyond Business Approach	69
2.13.3 Intellectual Capital Process	70
2.14 Knowledge Management	73
2.14.1 Building a Foundation for Knowledge Creation: the Concept of Ba	77
2.15 Risk Management	79
2.15.1 Designing an Effective Risk Matrix	83
2.16 Systems Thinking	86
2.16.1 Systems Thinking: A shift of Mind	87
 Chapter 3 Research Methodology	 92
3.1 Pre-design Stage	93
3.2 Research Design	96
3.2.1 Structure of the Research	98
3.2.2 Research Ethic	98
3.2.3 Semi-structure Interview Question	99
3.3 Research Investigation	99
3.3.1 Applying Knowledge Creation Process	99
3.3.2 Step 1: Stakeholder Analysis	100
3.3.2.1 Identifying Stakeholders	100
3.3.2.2 Analyzing Stakeholders	102
3.3.2.3 Prioritizing Stakeholders	103
3.3.3 Step 2: Strategy Formulation	104
3.3.3.1 Identifying Stakeholders' Needs and Expectations	104

	Page
3.3.3.2 Formulating Strategies	105
3.3.4 Step 3: Key Success Factor Verification	106
3.3.5 Step 4: Indicator Selection	107
3.3.5.1 Defining Community Intellectual Capital	108
3.3.6 Step 5: Risk Assessment	109
3.3.6.1 Identifying Risks	109
3.3.6.2 Evaluating Risks	111
3.3.7 Step 6: Indicator Consolidation	114
3.3.8 Step 7: Surveillance Function	115
3.3.9 Step 8: Contingency Plan	117
3.4 Applying Systems Thinking Approach	119
3.5 Investigating IC Management in the Community	120
3.6 Result and Analysis	121
3.7 Solution Development	122
3.8 Quality Control	123
Chapter 4 Result and Analysis	124
4.1 Introduction	124
4.2 Step 1: Stakeholder Analysis	125
4.2.1 Identifying Stakeholders	125
4.2.2 Analyzing Stakeholders	127
4.2.3 Prioritizing Stakeholders	128
4.2.4 Analyzing the Stakeholders' Roles and Resources	130
4.3 Step 1: Results Analysis	132
4.3.1 Managing the Stakeholders	132
4.3.2 The stakeholders' Resources and Roles	135
4.3.2.1 Cultural Management Perspective	135
4.3.2.2 Knowledge Management Perspective	136
4.4 Step 1: Initial Findings	137
4.5 Step 2: Strategy Formulation	138
4.5.1 The Mea-hiya Community Key Stakeholders' Needs & Expectations	138
4.5.2 Strategies	141
4.6 Step 2: Results Analysis	141
4.7 Step 2: Initial Findings	142
4.8 Step 3: Key Success Factor (KSF) Verification	143
4.8.1 Verifying KSFs	143
4.9 Step 3: KSF Result Analysis	144
4.10 Step 3: KSF Initial Finding	145
4.11 Step 4: Indicator Selection	145
4.11.1 The Mea-hiya community's Strategies, KSFs & Indicators	148
4.12 Step 4: Result Analysis	151


	Page
4.12.1 The Mea-hiya community's Cultural Intellectual Capital	151
4.12.2 Mea-hiya Community Capital Focus	154
4.13 Step 4: Initial Finding of Indicators Development	155
4.14 Step 5: Risk Assessment	156
4.14.1 Risk Identification	156
4.14.2 The Linkage of Risks, Indicators and Capitals	159
4.15 Step 5: Risk Result Analysis	161
4.15.1 Risk Evaluation and Assessment	161
4.16 Step 5: Initial Finding	163
4.17 Step 6: Indicators Consolidation	163
4.17.1 Risks and Indicators Prioritization	163
4.18 Step 6: Risk and Indicators Prioritization Result Analysis	165
4.19 Step 6: Initial Finding	166
4.20 Step 7: Surveillance Function	167
4.20.1 Monitor Index	167
4.21 Step 7: Monitor Index Result Analysis	172
4.22 Step 7: Monitor Index Initial Finding	173
4.23 Step 8: Risk Contingency Plan	174
4.24 Step 8: Contingency Plan Result Analysis	177
4.25 Step 8: Contingency Plan Initial Finding	178
4.26 The Eight Steps Process Analysis	179
4.27 Intellectual Capital and Knowledge Management	180
4.28 Systems Thinking Analysis	183
4.29 Investigating the Mea-hiya Community Initial Stage of Managing Their Traditional Knowledge	186
4.30 The Mea-hiya Community Traditional Knowledge Management in the Context of Sustainable Development	190
4.30.1 The verification of The Mea-hiya Community Traditional Knowledge Management and NSDS:	190
4.31 The Mea-hiya Community Traditional Knowledge Management in the Context of UNESCO and WIPO Frameworks	206
4.32 Closing Thought	214
Chapter 5 Conclusion and Discussion	215
5.1 Introduction	215
5.1.1 Research Questions	215
5.2 Research Question 1: How to design a management method in order to identify intellectual capital and to protect traditional knowledge of a community?	216
5.2.1 Mea-hiya community's Traditional Knowledge Intellectual Capital	218

	Page
5.2.2 Notice: Missing of Customer Capital	220
5.2.3 Suggestion	221
5.3 Research Question 2: What is the appropriate TK defensive protection system for Thai community by applying Intellectual Capital Concept, Knowledge Management approach NSDS, UNESCO, and WIPO frameworks?	223
5.3.1 Modifying the Process (Research Novelty)	223
5.3.1.1 A Process for Managing Local Traditional Knowledge (Eight Step Model)	224
5.3.1.2 A Defensive Protection System for Local Community to Manage Traditional Knowledge	225
5.4 Research Question 3: What are proper strategies to manage and protect local community traditional knowledge to be survived?	227
5.5 Research Question 4: How to encourage community to aware and alert of protecting TK?	228
5.5.1 Getting People Involved	228
5.6 What problems have been solved in the Mea-hiya community?	230
5.7 What has been learned from the research?	232
5.8 Research Limitation	234
5.9 Research Generalization	234
5.9.1 The Defensive Protection System of Traditional Knowledge for Thai Local Community	235
5.10 Conclusion	237
References	239
Appendices	255
Appendix A Interview Pha Kru Adulselkitti: the abbot of Thatklam Temple in Chiang Mai	256
Appendix B Letter for Interview	257
Appendix C Research Questions I (May – June 2008)	258
Appendix D Question for Analyzing Stakeholders	262
Appendix E Indicator Selection	263
Appendix F Research Questions II (November 2008 –March 2009)	264
Appendix G Questionnaire: Likelihood and Seriousness	265
Appendix H Monitor Index: (November 2008 – March 2009)	266
Appendix I Stakeholders to Verify and Confirm the Results	267
Appendix J Mea-hiya Community Initial Actions (2008-2009)	268
Curriculum Vitae	269

LIST OF TABLES

Table	Page
1.1 Chiang Mai traditions and rituals	6
2.1 Thailand Ministry of Culture's vision, missions, goals and strategies	46
2.2 Thailand's 10th National Economic and Social Development plan (2007-2010)	52
2.3 Thailand National Sustainable Development Strategy (NSDS) 2007-2036	54
2.4 Summary of the intellectual capital tool box	67
2.5 Main conceptual approaches of social capital	68
2.6 SWOT matrix and four generic strategies	81
2.7 Example of likelihood ranking	83
2.8 Example of consequence ranking	84
3.1 Literature reviews conclusion	93
3.2 Risks identification	110
3.3 Likelihood evaluation	111
3.4 Seriousness evaluation	112
3.5 Risk ranking	114
3.6 Risk monitor index	116
3.7 The contingency plan	118
4.1 Stakeholders' representatives	127
4.2 Stakeholder analysis	128
4.3 Stakeholder scoreboard	129
4.4 Stakeholder's resources & roles	132
4.5 The Mea-hiya stakeholders management completion	138
4.6 The stakeholders need and expectations similarity	140
4.7 Strategies and Key Success Factors	144
4.8 Indicator selection	145
4.9 Strategies, Key Success Factors & Indicators	151
4.10 Indicator arrangement	152
4.11 Mea-hiya Community Capital Focus	153
4.12 Strategies, KSFs, risk, root causes and indicators	158
4.13 Risks, key indicators and capital form	160
4.14 The linkage of Strategies, risks, indicators and intellectual capital forms	160
4.15 Likelihood evaluation	161
4.16 Seriousness evaluation	162
4.17 Risk assessment	163
4.18 Risk ranking	165
4.19 Risk monitor index and key indicators	172
4.20 Contingency plan	177
4.21 Initiate stage of managing traditional knowledge	189

Table	Page
4.22 The environmental development and Mea-hiya cultural management	192
4.23 The social development and Mea-hiya intellectual capital process	198
4.24 The social/governmental development and Mea-hiya intellectual capital process	203
4.25 Structure of a guidance manual for Thailand Sustainable Development Strategy and the Mea hiya community Correspondent	205
4.26 The Mea-hiya community's traditional knowledge management and UNESCO & WIPO frameworks	207
4.27 Mea-hiya initial activities and UNESCO & WIPO framework	209
5.1 The Mea-hiya community TK situations and a defensive protection system	230



ลิขสิทธิ์มหาวิทยาลัยเชียงใหม่
 Copyright© by Chiang Mai University
 All rights reserved

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure	Page
2.1 Traditional knowledge	19
2.2 Bio-Propecting Element	27
2.3 Organization structure of Ministry of Culture	45
2.4 Thailand cultural management structure	47
2.5 Vision of Thailand's 10th National Economic and Social Development plan (2007-2010)	51
2.6 Skandia Model	64
2.7 Intellectual capital key issues	66
2.8 Evolutionary intellectual capital model	69
2.9 Intellectual Capital Process Model	71
2.10 Knowledge Creation Process	75
2.11 Risk assessment process	82
2.12 Risk assessment matrix	85
2.13 Reinforcing loop template	89
2.14 Balancing loop template	90
3.1 Eight step process	98
3.2 Stakeholder analysis	100
3.3 The prioritizing grid	103
3.4 Strategy formulation	104
3.5 Key success factor verification	106
3.6 Indicator selection	107
3.7 Risk assessment	109
3.8 The risk assessment matrix	113
3.9 Indicator consolidation	114
3.10 Surveillance function	115
3.11 Contingency plan	117
4.1 The integrated eight steps process	125
4.2 Mea-hiya community key stakeholders	126
4.3 Stakeholder Analysis	130
4.4 Stakeholder's management grid	133
4.5 Stakeholder typology: Cultural management perspective	136
4.6 Stakeholder typology: Knowledge Management Perspective	137
4.7 Top down and bottom up process	142
4.8 Mea-hiya community capital focus	154
4.9 Strategies, KSFs, & Indicators	156
4.10 Indicators and capitals result from risk management technique	166
4.11 The diagram of self correcting process	173
4.12 The research eight steps process	179
4.13 Theoretical background & eight steps process	180

Figure	Page
4.14 Mea-hiya community SECI Model	183
4.15 Mea-hiya community cultural management system	184
4.16 Initiate stage capital focus	190
4.17 Mea-hiya community traditional knowledge system and UNESCO & WIPO framework	213
5.1 Mea-hiya community IC	217
5.2 The Mea-hiya intellectual capital model	219
5.3 The Mea-hiya community intellectual capital model	220
5.4 General missions of a traditional knowledge defensive protection system	226
5.5 Mea-hiya community cultural stakeholders	228
5.6 A defensive protection system for local community traditional knowledge	236