

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	iii
ABSTRACT (THAI)	iv
ABSTRACT (ENGLISH)	vi
LIST OF TABLES	x
LIST OF FIGURES	xv
CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Introduction	1
1.2 Purposes of this study	3
1.3 Anticipated benefits	3
CHAPTER 2 REVIEW OF LITERATURE	4
2.1 Orthodontic tooth movement and force magnitude	4
2.2 Assessments of orthodontic canine movement	7
2.3 Gingival crevicular fluid (GCF)	8
2.4 Biomarkers in GCF	10

CHAPTER 3 MATERIALS AND METHODS	18
3.1 Materials	18
3.2 Methods	21
CHAPTER 4 RESULTS	28
CHAPTER 5 DISCUSSION	38
CHAPTER 6 CONCLUSIONS	43
BIBLIOGRAPHY	44
APPENDICES	57
APPENDIX A CS (WF6 EPITOPE) LEVELS IN GCF	58
APPENDIX B RATE OF CANINE MOVEMENT	76
APPENDIX C VAS SCORES	78
APPENDIX D INFORMED CONSENT	89
APPENDIX E CERTIFICATE OF ETHICAL CLEARANCE	92

CURRICULUM VITAE 93

ลิขสิทธิ์มหาวิทยาลัยเชียงใหม่
 Copyright© by Chiang Mai University
 All rights reserved

LIST OF TABLES

Table	Page
1 Age (year) distributed by gender and number of the subjects (n) in the present study.	28
2 The CS (WF6 epitope) levels (ng/ μ g of total protein) around the right and the left experimental maxillary canines and the right and the left control mandibular canines during the unloaded and the loaded periods.	29
3 Statistically significant differences between the medians of CS (WF6 epitope) levels during the unloaded period and those during each one-week period during the loaded period (8 weeks) around the right experimental maxillary canines (70 grams retraction force).	35
4 Statistically significant differences between the medians of CS (WF6 epitope) levels during the unloaded period and those during each one-week period during the loaded period (8 weeks) around the left experimental maxillary canines (120 grams retraction force).	35
5 The rate of canine movement (mm/month) distributed by range of force magnitude.	36

- 6 The CS (WF6 epitope) levels (ng/ μ g of total protein) around the right control mandibular canines during the unloaded periods in fourteen subjects. 58
- 7 The CS (WF6 epitope) levels (ng/ μ g of total protein) around the left control mandibular canines during the unloaded periods in fourteen subjects. 59
- 8 The CS (WF6 epitope) levels (ng/ μ g of total protein) around the right experimental maxillary canines (70 grams retraction force) during the unloaded and the loaded periods in sixteen subjects. 60
- 9 The CS (WF6 epitope) levels (ng/ μ g of total protein) around the left experimental maxillary canines (120 grams retraction force) during unloaded and the loaded periods in sixteen subjects. 61
- 10 Distribution of CS (WF6 epitope) levels around the right control mandibular canines. 62
- 11 Distribution of CS (WF6 epitope) levels around the left control mandibular canines. 62
- 12 Comparison of CS (WF6 epitope) levels around the right control mandibular canines during the unloaded period. 63

- 13 Comparison of CS (WF6 epitope) levels around the left control 64
mandibular canines during the unloaded period.
- 14 Distribution of CS (WF6 epitope) levels around the right experimental 65
maxillary canines (70 grams retraction force) during the unloaded and the
loaded periods.
- 15 Distribution of CS (WF6 epitope) levels around the left experimental 65
maxillary canines (120 grams retraction force) during the unloaded and the
loaded periods.
- 16 Comparison of CS (WF6 epitope) levels during the unloaded and the 66
loaded periods around the right and the left experimental maxillary
canines (70 and 120 grams, respectively).
- 17 Distribution of CS (WF6 epitope) levels around the right experimental 67
maxillary canines (70 grams retraction force).
- 18 Distribution of CS (WF6 epitope) levels around the left experimental 68
maxillary canines (120 grams retraction force).

19	Comparison of CS (WF6 epitope) levels around the right experimental maxillary canines during the unloaded period and those during each one-week period during the loaded period.	69
20	Comparison of CS (WF6 epitope) levels around the left experimental maxillary canines during the unloaded period and those during each one-week period during the loaded period.	70
21	The rate of canine movement (mm/month) distributed by range of force magnitude in sixteen subjects.	76
22	Distribution of the rate of canine movement induced by the two force magnitudes.	77
23	Comparison of the rate of canine movement induced by the two force magnitudes.	77
24	The VAS scores induced with 70 grams of orthodontic force recorded by sixteen subjects.	78
25	The VAS scores induced with 120 grams of orthodontic force recorded by sixteen subjects.	79
26	Distribution of VAS scores induced with 70 grams of orthodontic force.	80

27	Distribution of VAS scores induced with 120 grams of orthodontic force.	80
28	Comparison of VAS scores induced by the two force magnitudes at the 1st week.	81
29	Comparison of VAS scores induced by the two force magnitudes at the 2nd week.	82
30	Comparison of VAS scores induced by the two force magnitudes at the 3rd week.	83
31	Comparison of VAS scores induced by the two force magnitudes at the 4th week.	84
32	Comparison of VAS scores induced by the two force magnitudes at the 5th week.	85
33	Comparison of VAS scores induced by the two force magnitudes at the 6th week.	86
34	Comparison of VAS scores induced by the two force magnitudes at the 7th week.	87
35	Comparison of VAS scores induced by the two force magnitudes at the 8th week.	88

ลิขสิทธิ์มหาวิทยาลัยเชียงใหม่
Copyright © by Chiang Mai University
All rights reserved

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure	Page
<p>1 Orthodontic appliances and sample collection instruments; Transpalatal arch (a), Miniscrew implants (b), Miniscrew driver (c), Sentalloy® closed coil springs: extra light force (d), light force (e), Force strain gauges (f), Microcentrifuge tube (g), Gingival fluid collection strips (Periopaper®) (h) and Digital caliper (i)</p>	21
<p>2 Diagram of the experimental design.</p>	24
<p>3 The right and the left experimental maxillary canines were controlled at 70 and 120 grams retraction force, respectively. Periopaper® strips were placed into the distal gingival sulcus of the canines for GCF collection.</p>	24
<p>4 Visual Analogue Scales (VAS).</p>	25
<p>5 Boxplot graphs of the medians of CS (WF6 epitope) levels around the right and the left control mandibular canines during the unloaded periods.</p>	31

- 6 Boxplot graphs of the medians of CS (WF6 epitope) levels around 32
the right and the left experimental maxillary canines during the unloaded
and the loaded periods (with 70 or 120 grams retraction force).
- 7 Boxplot graphs of the medians of CS (WF6 epitope) levels around 34
the right and the left experimental maxillary canines (70 and 120 grams
retraction force, respectively), during each one-week period.
- 8 Boxplot graphs of the medians of the VAS score distributed by 37
range of force magnitude (70 and 120 grams of orthodontic force)
during the loaded period.
- 9 The first individual graphs of the CS (WF6 epitope) levels (ng/ μ g of 71
total protein) around the right and the left control mandibular canines
and the right and the left experimental maxillary canines during the
unloaded and the loaded periods.
- 10 The second individual graphs of the CS (WF6 epitope) levels (ng/ μ g of 72
total protein) around the right and the left control mandibular canines
and the right and the left experimental maxillary canines during the
unloaded and the loaded periods.

- 11 The third individual graphs of the CS (WF6 epitope) levels (ng/ μ g of total protein) around the right and the left control mandibular canines and the right and the left experimental maxillary canines during the unloaded and the loaded periods. 73
- 12 The fourth individual graphs of the CS (WF6 epitope) levels (ng/ μ g of total protein) around the right and the left experimental maxillary canines during the unloaded and the loaded periods. 74
- 13 The fifth individual graphs of the CS (WF6 epitope) levels (ng/ μ g of total protein) around the right and the left experimental maxillary canines during the unloaded and the loaded periods. 75