

Table of Contents

	Page
Acknowledgements	iii
Abstract in English	iv
Abstract in Thai	vi
List of Tables	x
List of Figures	xi
Chapter 1 Introduction	
1.1 Background and Significance of the study	1
1.2 Purposes of the study	4
1.3 Application advantages	4
1.4 Scope	4
Chapter 2 Theory and Literature Review	
2.1 Economic Theory	5
2.1.1 Interest and Money in Keynesian Approach	5
2.1.2 Efficient Market Hypothesis	21
2.2 Econometric theory	23
2.2.1 Structural Changes and Unit Root Tests	23
2.2.2 Cointegration Test	25
2.2.3 Granger Causality Tests	26
2.3 Literature Review	27
Chapter 3 Methods	
3.1 Location	30
3.2 Methods	30
3.2.1 Unit Root Test	30
3.2.2 Cointegration Test	31
3.2.3 Granger Causality Test	31

Chapter 4 Empirical Results	
4.1 Results of Unit Root Test	33
4.2 Results of Cointegration Test	38
4.3 Results of Granger Causality Test	40
Chapter 5 Conclusion	
5.1 Conclusion	42
5.2 Recommendation	42
5.2.1 Policy	42
5.2.2 Future Research	43
References	44
Curriculum Vitae	49

ลิขสิทธิ์มหาวิทยาลัยเชียงใหม่
Copyright© by Chiang Mai University
All rights reserved

List of Tables

Table	Page
4.1 Result of a Unit Root by ADF test for M2 at log level, (I(0))	35
4.2 Result of a Unit Root by ADF test for M2 at log first differences level, (I(1))	35
4.3 Result of a Unit Root by ADF test for SET Index at log level, (I(0))	36
4.4 Result of a Unit Root by ADF test for M2 at log first differences level, (I(1))	37
4.5 Result of lag length between M2 and SET index which determined by AIC, SBC and LR method	38
4.6 Result of AIC and SBC of VAR model in 5 models at one lag length	39
4.7 Result of finding the number of cointegrating vectors by max test	39
4.8 Result of finding the number of cointegrating vectors by trace test	39
4.9 Result of estimated a cointegrating vector between M2 and SET index	40
4.10 Result of causality tests between M2 and SET	41

List of Figures

Figure	Page
1.1 SET Index data between 2000-2006	1
1.2 Money Supply, M2 data of Thailand between 2000-2006	2
2.1 Liquidity-Preference Schedule	8
2.2a Two Point on the same Liquidity-Preference Schedule	17
2.2b A Change in Liquidity-Preference Schedule	17
4.1 M2 in log form over the period of monthly from 1995 to 2006	33
4.2 SET Index in log form over the period of monthly from 1995 to 2006	34