

# CONTENTS

	<b>Page</b>
<b>ACKNOWLEDGEMENT</b>	<b>c</b>
<b>ABSTRACT IN THAI</b>	<b>d</b>
<b>ABSTRACT IN ENGLISH</b>	<b>f</b>
<b>LIST OF TABLES</b>	<b>l</b>
<b>LIST OF FIGURES</b>	<b>m</b>
<b>STATEMENT OF ORIGINALITY IN ENGLISH</b>	<b>n</b>
<b>STATEMENT OF ORIGINALITY IN THAI</b>	<b>o</b>
<b>CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1 Economic and Agricultural Industry	1
1.2 Incentive, Standards, Government Support to Farmers	2
1.3 Behavior, Learning and Practices of Thai Farmers	4
1.4 Research Problems and Proposal Solution Methodology	5
1.5 Structure of Thesis	9
<b>CHAPTER 2 LITERATURE REVIEW</b>	<b>10</b>
2.1 Agricultural Economy of Thailand and Global Market	10
2.1.1 Thailand Agro-economy	10
2.1.2 European Union (EU) Market	11
2.1.3 United State of America (USA) Market	16
2.1.4 Australian Market	19
2.1.5 Asian Market	22
2.2 Food-relevant Standards	27
2.2.1 Backgrounds about Standards	27
2.2.2 GMP (Good Manufacturing Practice)	29
2.2.3 Hazard Analysis and Critical Control Point (HACCP)	31
2.2.4 ISO22000:2500 Food Safety System Requirement	33
2.2.5 British Retail Consortium (BRC)	34
2.3 Standards Involving Sectors of Agricultural Goods Production	36

## CONTENTS (Continued)

	<b>Page</b>
2.3.1 Good Agricultural Practices (GAP)	36
2.3.1.1 Global GAP	37
2.3.1.2 National GAP (Thailand)	40
2.3.2 Organic Farming and IFOAM	41
2.4 Environment-related Standard	44
2.4.1 Carbon Foot Print	42
2.5 Trade Norms and Regulations	43
2.5.1 Ethical Trade Initiative (ETI)	43
2.5.2 Fair Trade	44
2.6 Maturity Model (MM)	45
2.6.1 General	45
2.6.2 Five Levels of Software Process Maturity	47
2.6.3 Behavior Characterization of Maturity Levels	47
2.6.3.1 Level 1: The Initial Level	47
2.6.3.2 Level 2: The Repeatable Level	48
2.6.3.3 Level 3: The Defined Level	48
2.6.3.4 Level 4: The Manage Level	49
2.6.3.5 Level 5: The Optimizing Level	49
2.6.4 Skipping Maturity Levels	50
2.6.5 Internal Structure of Maturity Model	50
2.6.5.1 Maturity Model	51
2.6.5.2 Key Process Areas	51
2.6.5.3 Common Features	52
2.6.5.4 Key Practices	53
2.6.6 Application of CMM	53
2.7 Knowledge Management (KM) and Knowledge Engineering (KE)	54
2.7.1 General	54
2.7.2 Fundamentals of KM and Its Extensions	55
2.7.2.1 Knowledge Creation	55

## CONTENTS (Continued)

	<b>Page</b>
2.7.2.2 Learning in Action	58
2.7.3 Knowledge Engineering (KE)	62
2.7.4 Common KADS	63
2.7.4.1 Overview	63
2.7.4.2 Common KADS Model Components	64
2.7.4.3 Knowledge Model	64
2.7.5 Knowledge Management Maturity Model (KMMM)	65
2.7.6 KM in Agriculture	70
2.7.6.1 Agricultural Knowledge Management in India	72
2.7.6.2 Agricultural Knowledge Management in Ghana	74
2.7.6.3 Agricultural Knowledge Management in Bolivia	75
2.8 Closure Towards Proposed Methodology	75
<b>CHAPTER 3 PROPOSED METHODOLOGY</b>	<b>76</b>
3.1 Motivation of Methodology Development	76
3.2 Grower Maturity Model (GMM)	78
3.2.1 GAP as An Origin of GMM	78
3.2.2 Designation of Maturity to GMM	83
3.2.3 The Management of Knowledge in GMM	86
3.2.4 The Resulting GMM	93
3.3 Cost and Risk Assessment	98
3.4 Robustness Tests	100
3.5 Benefit from GMM	107
<b>CHAPTER 4 CASE STUDIES</b>	<b>109</b>
4.1 General	109
4.2 Case Study #1	110
4.2.1 General Description	110
4.2.2 Research Process	111
4.2.3 Result and Discussion	124
4.3 Case Study #2	137

## CONTENTS (Continued)

	<b>Page</b>
4.3.1 General Description	137
4.3.2 Research Process	138
4.3.3 Result and Discussion	146
4.4 Case Study #3	152
4.4.1 General Description	152
4.4.2 Research Process	152
4.4.3 Result and Discussion	157
4.5 Case Study # 4	158
4.5.1 General Description	158
4.5.2 Research Process	159
4.5.3 Result and Discussion	165
<b>CHAPTER 5 CONCLUSIONS AND FUTURE WORK</b>	<b>174</b>
5.1 Conclusions	174
5.2 Future Work	178
<b>REFERENCES</b>	<b>179</b>
<b>APPENDIX</b>	<b>189</b>
APPENDIX A	189
APPENDIX B	202
APPENDIX C	230
APPENDIX D	259
APPENDIX E	269
<b>CURRICULUM VIATE</b>	<b>282</b>

## LIST OF TABLES

	<b>Page</b>
Table 3.1 Systems Thinking for GMM Improvement	90
Table 3.2 Systems Thinking for a Specific Task	90
Table 3.3 Structure of GMM	95
Table 3.4 The Task Evaluation by GMM	96
Table 3.5 Questionnaires for Exports or producer that have perspective to Grower Maturity Model by Company #1 CCW	101
Table 3.6 Questionnaires for Exports or producer that have perspective to Grower Maturity Model by Company #2 KAEC	102
Table 3.7 Questionnaires for Exports or producer that have perspective to Grower Maturity Model by Company #3 PP	103
Table 3.8 Comparisons of Ordinary Method and GMM Method	106
Table 4.1 GMM Evaluation Chart	112
Table 4.2 Grower #1	126
Table 4.3 Grower #2	129
Table 4.4 Grower #3	132
Table 4.5 Comparison of Grower Maturity Level	136
Table 4.6 The evaluation by GMM finds the following information	147
Table 4.7 Grower	149
Table 4.8 Expense and Revenue of Base Case Group A	153
Table 4.9 Expense and Revenue of Base Case Group B	155
Table 4.10 GMM Evaluation on IPM	160
Table 4.11 Improving Learning Process on IPM by GMM	161
Table 4.12 utilization Learning Process on IPM	162
Table 4.13 Results GMM Evaluation on IPM	168
Table 4.14 Improving Learning Process by GMM	169
Table 4.15 Systems Thinking	172

## LIST OF FIGURES

	<b>Page</b>
Figure 1.1 Supply Chain of Fresh Produce	7
Figure 2.1 Conceptual of Standard in Agricultural Supply Chain	28
Figure 2.2 Integrated Farm Assurances (IFA) Standard	39
Figure 2.3 The Five Level of Software Process Maturity	46
Figure 2.4 The CMM Structure	51
Figure 2.5 The Key Process Areas by Maturity Levels	52
Figure 2.6 Knowledge Creating Company by Nonaka	56
Figure 2.7 Knowledge Creation SECI Model	57
Figure 2.8 Price of Ford Model T 1909-1923	59
Figure 2.9 Common KADS Model Set	64
Figure 2.10 Common KADS, Overviews of Knowledge Categories in the Knowledge Model	65
Figure 2.11 KMM the Five Maturity Levels of Knowledge Management	67
Figure 2.12 The Eight Key Areas of Knowledge Management	68
Figure 2.13 Process if the KMMM Assessment	69
Figure 3.1 Conceptual Framework of GAP-based GMM	82
Figure 3.2 The Systems Thinking of Okra Growers	89
Figure 3.3 Shows the Systems Thinking Knowledge Linkage	93
Figure 3.4 The Construction of GMM	94
Figure 3.5 Schematic Development Framework of GMM	95
Figure 4.1 Selection of Sample Group for Case Studies	110
Figure 4.2 GG Audit Result	139
Figure 4.3 Learning Cartoon for Capability Improvement	173

## STATEMENT OF ORIGINALITY

- 1) In this thesis grower maturity model has presented as a new model of farmer capability assessment and development. Implementation of Global Good Agricultural Practices (Global GAP) has set as a framework for capability development while maturity model has set as criterion and guide line.
- 2) The aim is to measure the capabilities of farmers and realize the requisite fundamental knowledge in order to enhance capability of farmers for sustainable farming.



ลิขสิทธิ์มหาวิทยาลัยเชียงใหม่  
Copyright© by Chiang Mai University  
All rights reserved

## ข้อความแห่งการริเริ่ม

- 1) วิทยานิพนธ์ฉบับนี้ได้นำเสนอรูปแบบใหม่ในการประเมินขีดความสามารถของเกษตรกร โดยได้นำรูปแบบการกำหนดเกณฑ์แนวทางการพัฒนาขีดความสามารถ (Maturity Model) มาประยุกต์ใช้กับเกษตรกร และนำการปฏิบัติงานด้านการเกษตรที่ดีและเหมาะสมตามมาตรฐานสากล (Global GAP) มาเป็นกรอบในการพัฒนาขีดความสามารถของเกษตรกร
- 2) เพื่อเป็นการช่วยวัดระดับขีดความสามารถของเกษตรกร ทำให้ทราบถึงองค์ความรู้ที่มีความจำเป็นในขั้นพื้นฐานของการพัฒนาขีดความสามารถให้อยู่ในระดับที่สูงขึ้นจนนำไปสู่การทำการเกษตรที่ยั่งยืนต่อไป



ลิขสิทธิ์มหาวิทยาลัยเชียงใหม่  
Copyright© by Chiang Mai University  
All rights reserved